

# THE DISTRIBUTIONAL EFFECTS OF “FULFILLED BY AMAZON” (FBA)

Garud Iyengar<sup>†</sup>, **Yuanzhe Ma**<sup>†</sup>, Thomas Rivera<sup>‡</sup>, Fahad Saleh<sup>\*</sup>, and Jay Sethuraman<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Columbia University

<sup>\*</sup>School of Business, Wake Forest University

<sup>‡</sup>Desautels Faculty of Management, McGill University



## Introduction

### Fulfillment by Amazon

- Fulfillment by Amazon (FBA): a fulfillment service that Amazon offers to merchants for a per-unit fee
- In 2020, nearly 50% of merchants on Amazon uses FBA
- Merchants have a 20–25% increase in sales after using FBA
- **Question:** what are the *welfare* implications of FBA upon consumers, merchants, and Amazon?
- **Answer:** 2 outcomes:
  1. Consumers, merchants with low fulfillment service quality and Amazon gain, but merchants with high fulfillment service quality lose
  2. Amazon extracts all gains of FBA, others stay the same

### Model

- Static setting: time  $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$
- Three types of agents: consumers, two merchants, and a platform
- $t = 0$ : platform sets platform fee based on revenue and FBA fee per quantity
- $t = 1$ : each merchant decides if to use platform/ FBA and sets price (cannot use FBA off the platform)
- $t = 2$ : consumers buy from one merchant or an outside option with utility 0
- Each participant is rational: maximizes her own utility

### Merchants' setup

Two merchants  $j \in \{H, L\}$  defined by

$-\theta_j > 0$ : product quality

$-\sigma_j \geq 0$ : fulfillment service quality,  $\sigma_H > \sigma_L$ , normalize  $\sigma_L = 0$

At  $t = 1$  merchant  $j \in \{H, L\}$  simultaneously makes 3 decisions:

1.  $\rho_j \in \{0, 1\}$ : join platform with fee  $f$  or not
2.  $\eta_j \in \{0, 1\}$ : use FBA with fee  $T$  or not, using FBA changes  $\sigma_j$  to  $\sigma_P \equiv \sigma_H$
3.  $P_j \geq 0$ : price set for the product
4. Use  $d_j := (\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j)$  to represent actions of Merchant  $j$

## Model details

### Merchants' problem

Merchant  $j$  solve (assume  $d_{-j}$  fixed)

$$d_j^*(f, T) = (\rho_j^*(f, T), \eta_j^*(f, T), P_j^*(f, T)) \in \arg \max_{\rho_j \in \{0,1\}, \eta_j \in \{0,1\}, P_j \geq 0} \Pi_j(\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j, d_{-j})$$

$\Pi_j(\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j, d_{-j})$ : profit of Merchant  $j$

$Q_j(\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j, d_{-j})$ : demand of Merchant  $j$

$f$ : platform fee

$T$ : FBA fee

$$\Pi_j(\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j, d_{-j}) = \begin{cases} P_j \cdot Q_j & \text{if } \rho_j = 0 \\ P_j \cdot Q_j - f \cdot P_j \cdot Q_j & \text{if } \rho_j = 1, \eta_j = 0 \\ P_j \cdot Q_j - f \cdot P_j \cdot Q_j - T \cdot Q_j & \text{if } \rho_j = 1, \eta_j = 1 \end{cases}$$

### Platform's problem

Platform anticipates  $P_j^*(f, T), Q_j^*(f, T)$  given fees  $(f, T)$ , and sets fees optimally to solve:

$$(f^*, T^*) \in \arg \max_{f \in [0,1], T \geq 0} \Pi_P(f, T; d)$$

$$\Pi_P(f, T; d) = f \left( \sum_{j \in M_P^*(f, T)} \underbrace{P_j^*(f, T) \cdot Q_j^*(d(f, T))}_{\text{revenue of } j} \right) + T \left( \sum_{j \in M_F^*(f, T)} \underbrace{Q_j^*(d(f, T))}_{\text{demand of } j} \right)$$

$M_P^*(f, T)$  = set of merchants using platform

$M_F^*(f, T)$  = set of merchants using FBA  $\subseteq M_P^*(f, T)$

### Consumers' problem

- A measure of consumers indexed by  $i \in [0, 1 + \Delta]$
- Utility  $U_i(j, d_j)$  of consumer  $i$  from buying Product  $j$  as a function of Merchant  $j$ 's decisions,  $d_j = (\rho_j, \eta_j, P_j)$ :

$$U_i(j, d_j) = \underbrace{X_{i,j}}_{\text{Consumption Value}} - \underbrace{P_j}_{\text{Product Price}} + \underbrace{s_j(\rho_j, \eta_j)}_{\text{Fulfillment Service Value}}$$

- $X_{i,j} \sim \exp(\theta_j^{-1})$ , independent across  $i, j$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_{i,j}) = \theta_j$

$$s_j(\rho_j, \eta_j) = \begin{cases} \sigma_P & \text{if } \rho_j \cdot \eta_j = 1 \\ \sigma_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Outside option with utility 0

## Demand function and main results

- Consumer  $i \in [0, 1]$  sees product sold by **all** merchants
- Consumer  $i \in (1, 1 + \Delta]$  sees Product  $j$  only if Merchant  $j$  **sells on the platform**, i.e.,  $\rho_j = 1$

Demand of Merchant  $j$  when both merchants make decisions  $d = (d_L, d_H)$

$$Q_{j,[0,1]}(d_j, d_{-j}) = \underbrace{\left( 1 - \frac{\theta_j^{-1}}{\theta_j^{-1} + \theta_{-j}^{-1}} \cdot \pi_{-j}(d_{-j}) \right)}_{\text{does not depend on } d_j} \cdot \pi_j(d_j)$$

where  $\pi_j(d_j) = e^{-\theta_j^{-1}(P_j - s_j(\rho_j, \eta_j))} = \mathbb{P}(U_i(j, d_j) > 0)$

$$Q_{j,\Delta}(d_j, d_{-j}) = \rho_j \Delta \underbrace{\left( 1 - \frac{\theta_j^{-1}}{\theta_j^{-1} + \theta_{-j}^{-1}} \rho_{-j} \pi_{-j}(d_{-j}) \right)}_{\text{does not depend on } d_j} \cdot \pi_j(d_j)$$

- Compare 2 equilibria: with/ without FBA
- Merchant  $L$ : **weakly** better,  $\sigma_L \uparrow \sigma_P$ ,  $T^*$  not too large
- Merchant  $H$ : **weakly** worse, even though  $P_{H,FBA}^* = P_H^*$
- Consumers: **weakly** better,  $P_{L,FBA}^* - P_L^* \leq \sigma_P - \sigma_L$
- Platform: **strictly** better:
  - No FBA (force  $\eta_j = 0$ ): both use platform,  $P_H^* = \theta_H, P_L^* = \theta_L$
  - With FBA: both use platform, only  $L$  uses FBA,  $P_{H,FBA}^* = \theta_H, P_{L,FBA}^* = \theta_L + \frac{T^*}{1-f^*}$
- 1. When effects on merchants and consumers are zero: platform takes all values generated through FBA
- 2. Otherwise: only  $H$  loses, everyone else gains

When will  $H$  lose,  $L$ , consumers, and platform gain?

- $\theta_L$  is small
- $T^*$  is “interior”:  $L$  strictly prefers to use FBA
- 1.  $\theta_L$  small:  $Q_L$  sensitive to  $T \uparrow$ , difficult to extract values from FBA
- 2.  $\theta_L$  larger:  $Q_L$  less sensitive to  $T \uparrow$ , easier to extract values from FBA